

| PROJECT:   | ECT: Pavement Maintenance at Various Sites |                 | OWNER:          | Clovis Unified School District |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| ENGINEER:  | Blair, Churc                               | h & Flynn       | BID NO:         | 2890                           |
|  | Attention:                                 | Jenn Felix      | BCF PROJECT NO. | 221-0288                       |
|  |  | Tanairy Barrera |                 |                                |
| It will be the responsibility of the Constant Contractor to submit the information contained in this addandum to |  |                 |                 |                                |

It will be the responsibility of the General Contractor to submit the information contained in this addendum to all its subcontractors and suppliers. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject Bidder to disqualification. The following additions, deletions, and revisions to the Drawings and Project Manual are hereby made and do become a part of these Contract Documents.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

AD4-1: Geotechnical Reports

# **ATTACHMENTS**

Exhibit A – Buchanan High School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit B – CART Geotechnical Report

Exhibit C – Clovis High School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit D – Clovis West High School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit E – Community Day School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit F – Jefferson Elementary School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit G – Mickey Cox Elementary School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit H – Pinedale Elementary School Geotechnical Report

Exhibit I – Professional Development Building Geotechnical Report

#### Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

451 Clovis Avenue, Suite 200 Clovis, CA 93612 (559) 326-1400 FAX (559) 326-1500



# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

# AD4-1: Geotechnical Reports

Refer to the attached **Exhibits A through I** for the geotechnical reports for each site.



April 14, 2022

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/01

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Buchanan High School 1560 North Minnewawa Avenue Clovis, California 93619

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Buchanan High School parking lot in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Buchanan High School campus located west of North Minnewawa Avenue and south of West Teague Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8566° north latitude and 119.7117° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 30, 2022, the existing parking lot was cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 373 feet at the project site. The layout of the parking lot is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at one location (C-1) and hand-augering to a depth of approximately 51.5 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the coring location between a depth of approximately 13 and 51.5 inches.
- The core hole was backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- An R-Value test was performed on a sample of the near surface soils that was considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **FINDINGS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table.

| Core<br>Number |  | Encountered Pavement Section |             |  |
|----------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|--|
|                |  | AC (inches)                  | AB (inches) |  |
| C-1            |  | 3.0                          | 10.0        |  |

The soils encountered at the coring location consisted primarily of fine to coarse grained clayey sand to sandy clay. The subgrade was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### LABORATORY TESTING

A Resistance Value (R-Value) test was performed on a representative sample of the subgrade obtained from planned paved areas using test methods outlined in ASTM D2844.Details of the R-Value test that was performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figure 3.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 10 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 10.0" Class 2 AB           |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 10.5" Class 2 AB           |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 12.5" Class 2 AB           |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 10 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 10 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas



where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either express or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart legan

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

er (, Mone )

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to *adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us*) Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to *jfelix@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *zhockett@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *arodriguez@bcf-engr.com*)

ROFESSIOA

No. GE2904

OF CALIF



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Buchanan High School 160 North Minnewawa Avenue Clovis, CA 93619 Project# 22G-0055-0/01

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 174'



#### Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated Feb 2020 prepared by: Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP** Buchanan High School

160 North Minnewawa Avenue Clovis, CA 93619 Project# 22G-0055-0/01 Approximate Scale:  $1" \approx 65'$ 



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/01  |               |           |  |
|------------------|--|---------------|-----------|--|
| Project Name:    | Buchanan High School                                       | Lab ID:       | 22-009418 |  |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.   | Date Sampled: | 3/30/2022 |  |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.   | Date Tested:  | 4/11/2022 |  |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 13in - 51.5in  |               |           |  |
| Description:     | Clayey SAND/Sandy CLAY, fine to coarse grained, dark brown |               |           |  |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 10  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1850  | 2765  | 4126  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 147   | 220   | 329   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 3     | 4     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 13    | 17    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 150   | 144   | 137   |
| Displacement:                   | 3.97  | 3.94  | 3.98  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 4     | 7     | 10    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 4     | 7     | 11    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 13.0  | 12.6  | 12.1  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 124.9 | 126.7 | 127.4 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/02



April 14, 2022

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations The Center for Advanced Research and Technology (CART) 2555 Clovis Avenue Clovis, California 93612

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Center for Advanced Research and Technology (CART) parking lot in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing CART campus located east of Clovis Avenue and south of Santa Ana Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8032° north latitude and 119.6977° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 24, 2022, the existing parking lot was cracked. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 358 and 359 feet at the project site. The layout of the parking lot is illustrated on Figure 2.

### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at three locations (C-1 through C-3) and hand-augering to depths of approximately 50 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the three coring locations between depths of approximately 46.5 and 50.25 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- Three R-value tests were performed on the soil samples that were considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **FINDINGS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table.

| Coro Numbor | Encountered Pavement Section |             |  |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Core Number | AC (inches)                  | AB (inches) |  |
| C-1         | 3.0                          | 6.0         |  |
| C-2         | 2.5                          | 6.5         |  |
| C-3         | 2.5                          | 6.75        |  |

The soils encountered at the coring locations consisted primarily of fine to medium grained silty sand. The subgrade at all locations was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### LABORATORY TESTING

Three Resistance Value (R-Value) tests were performed on representative samples of the subgrade obtained from planned paved areas using test methods outlined in ASTM D2844.Details of the R-Value tests that were performed are provided in the attached laboratory reports, Figures 3 through 5.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 50 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 4.0" Class 2 AB            |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 6 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with



3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 6 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

#### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either express or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

tewart egan

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

North

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



Attachments: Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figures 3 through 5 – R-Value Test Results

Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to *adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us*) Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to *jfelix@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *zhockett@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *arodriguez@bcf-engr.com*)



Reference: Google Earth

# Approximate Scale: $1'' \approx 466'$



SITE VICINITY MAP The Center for Advanced Research and Technology 2555 Clovis Avenue Clovis, CA 93612 Project# 22G-0055-0/02

FIGURE 1



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated January 2022 prepared by: Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP** The Center for Advanced Research and Technology 2555 Clovis Avenue Clovis, CA 93612 Project# 22G-0055-0/02

# Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 147'



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of



| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 66 |
|---|----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | 72 |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1481  | 2496  | 7026  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 118   | 199   | 559   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 2     | 7     | 15    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 9     | 30    | 65    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 70    | 45    | 23    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.98  | 4.23  | 3.50  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 39    | 60    | 81    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 39    | 59    | 81    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 13.1  | 12.1  | 10.3  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 123.6 | 122.7 | 124.0 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 4 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/02                             |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | CART                                      | Lab ID:       | 22-009343 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                  | Date Sampled: | 3/24/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                  | Date Tested:  | 4/6/2022  |
| Sample Location: | C-2 @ 9in - 50.25in                       |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND, fine to medium grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 71 |
|---|----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | 72 |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 2021  | 3590  | 4683  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 161   | 286   | 373   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 18    | 15    | 8     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 78    | 65    | 35    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 36    | 31    | 27    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.01  | 3.98  | 4.11  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 68    | 72    | 75    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 67    | 71    | 75    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 11.1  | 10.7  | 10.2  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 125.2 | 122.0 | 124.0 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 5 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/02                             |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | CART                                      | Lab ID:       | 22-009344 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                  | Date Sampled: | 3/24/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                  | Date Tested:  | 4/6/2022  |
| Sample Location: | C-3 @ 9.25in - 48in                       |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND, fine to medium grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 70  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1464  | 3454  | 4352  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 117   | 275   | 346   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 14    | 16    | 22    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 61    | 69    | 95    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 42    | 33    | 29    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.37  | 4.11  | 4.02  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 62    | 70    | 74    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 62    | 69    | 74    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 12.1  | 11.7  | 11.2  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 121.8 | 122.1 | 122.0 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



April 5, 2022

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/03

Mr. Adam Belmont Clovis Unified School District 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Clovis High School 1055 Fowler Avenue Clovis, California 93611

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Clovis High School driveways and playcourt areas in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Clovis High School campus located east of Fowler Avenue and north of Barstow Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8179° north latitude and 119.6786° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 16, 2022, the existing driveways and playcourt were cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 369 and 372 feet at the project site. The layout of the campus is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at three locations (C-1 through C-3) and hand-augering to depths of approximately 52 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the three coring locations between depths of approximately 46 and 52 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- Three R-Value tests were performed on samples of the near surface soils that were considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **RESULTS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table. Details of the R-Value tests that were performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figures 3 through 5.

| Coro Numbor | Encountered P | ed Pavement Section R-Value by Exudation R-Value by |                      | R-Value by |
|-------------|---------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| Core Number | AC (inches)   | AB (inches)   | R-Value by Excuation | Expansion  |
| C-1         | 2.0           | 4.0   | 14                   | n/a        |
| C-2         | 2.25          | 6.25  | 9                    | n/a        |
| C-3         | 1.25          | 7.75  | 10                   | 16         |

The soils encountered at the coring locations consisted primarily of fine to coarse grained clayey sand to sandy clay. The subgrade at all locations was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 10 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |  |
|-----------|---|--|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 10.0" Class 2 AB           |  |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 10.5" Class 2 AB           |  |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 12.5" Class 2 AB           |  |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 4 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 4 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed



until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.

Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

legan J. Stewart

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

( Mont

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



- Attachments: Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figure 3 through 5– R-Value Test Results
- Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us)
  Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to jfelix@bcf-engr.com)
  Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)
  Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to arodriguez@bcf-engr.com)



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Clovis High School 1055 Fowler Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/03

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 1052'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated November 2021 prepared by: Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2 **CORING LOCATION MAP Clovis High School** 1055 Fowler Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/03

# Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 310'



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/03                                  |               |           |
|------------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Clovis High School                             | Lab ID:       | 22-009267 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                       | Date Sampled: | 3/16/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                       | Date Tested:  | 3/30/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 6in - 52in                               |               |           |
| Description:     | Clayey SAND, fine to coarse grained, dark brow | vn            |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 14  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1846  | 3023  | 5154  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 147   | 241   | 410   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 3     | 9     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 13    | 39    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 149   | 139   | 112   |
| Displacement:                   | 4.11  | 3.96  | 3.81  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 4     | 9     | 22    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 5     | 9     | 22    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 11.0  | 10.1  | 9.2   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 134.7 | 131.4 | 132.7 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 4 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/03                              |               |           |
|------------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Clovis High School                         | Lab ID:       | 22-009268 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                   | Date Sampled: | 3/16/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                   | Date Tested:  | 3/30/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-2 @ 8.5in - 51.25in                      |               |           |
| Description:     | Clayey SAND, fine to medium grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 9   |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1659  | 3303  | 4585  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 132   | 263   | 365   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 12    | 14    | 20    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 52    | 61    | 87    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 148   | 139   | 135   |
| Displacement:                   | 4.28  | 4.32  | 3.79  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 5     | 8     | 11    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 5     | 8     | 10    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 12.2  | 11.3  | 10.4  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 127.9 | 125.7 | 128.1 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 5 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/03                              |               |           |
|------------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Clovid High School                         | Lab ID:       | 22-009269 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                   | Date Sampled: | 3/16/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                   | Date Tested:  | 3/30/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-3 @ 9in - 46in                           |               |           |
| Description:     | Clayey SAND, fine to coarse grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 10 |
|---|----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | 16 |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1386  | 3556  | 4602  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 110   | 283   | 366   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 8     | 20    | 27    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 35    | 87    | 117   |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 153   | 134   | 114   |
| Displacement:                   | 4.53  | 4.96  | 4.99  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 2     | 9     | 17    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 3     | 9     | 17    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 13.0  | 11.6  | 10.7  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 129.3 | 123.5 | 126.0 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



April 14, 2022

RMA Project No.22G-0055-0/04

Mr. Adam Belmont Clovis Unified School District 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Clovis West High School 1070 East Teague Avenue Fresno, California 93720

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Clovis West High School playcourt area in Fresno, California. The project site consists of the existing Clovis West High School campus located north of East Teague Avenue and east of North Millbrook Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8597° north latitude and 119.7615° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 30, 2022, the existing playcourt was cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 369 and 371 feet at the project site. The layout of the playcourt area is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at one location (C-1) and hand-augering to a depth of approximately 48 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the coring location between a depth of approximately 8.5 and 48 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- An R-value test was performed on a sample of the near surface soils that was considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844/California Test Method 301.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **FINDINGS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table.

| Coro Number | Encountered Pavement Section |             |  |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| core Number | AC (inches)                  | AB (inches) |  |
| C-1         | 4.0                          | 4.5         |  |

The soils encountered at the coring location consisted primarily of fine grained sandy silt trace clay. The subgrade was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### LABORATORY TESTING

A Resistance Value (R-Value) test was performed on a representative sample of the subgrade obtained from planned paved areas using test methods outlined in ASTM D2844. Details of the R-Value test that was performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figure 3.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 15 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 9.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 10.0" Class 2 AB           |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 11.5" Class 2 AB           |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 4 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 4 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas



where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either express or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart legan

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

er (, Mone )

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to *adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us*) Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to *jfelix@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *zhockett@bcf-engr.com*) Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to *arodriguez@bcf-engr.com*)

ROFESSIOA

No. GE2904

OF CALIF



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Clovis West High School 1070 East Teague Avenue Fresno, CA 93720 Project# 22G-0055-0/04

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 488'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated November 2021 prepared by: Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

CORING LOCATION MAP **Clovis West High School** 1070 East Teague Avenue Fresno, CA 93720 Project# 22G-0055-0/04

### Approximate Scale: $1'' \approx 84'$



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/04                              |               |            |
|------------------|--|---------------|------------|
| Project Name:    | Clovis West High School                    | Lab ID:       | 22G-009417 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                   | Date Sampled: | 3/30/2022  |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                   | Date Tested:  | 4/8/2022   |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 8.5in - 48in                         |               |            |
| Description:     | Sandy SILT trace Clay, fine grained, brown |               |            |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 17  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 2152  | 3190  | 4359  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 171   | 254   | 347   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 5     | 7     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 22    | 30    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 150   | 141   | 84    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.79  | 4.89  | 4.81  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 3     | 6     | 32    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 4     | 6     | 33    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 23.5  | 22.5  | 21.6  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 117.2 | 116.7 | 118.5 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/05



April 14, 2022

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Community Day School 1715 David E Cook Way Clovis, California 93611

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Community Day School parking lot and playcourt areas in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Community Day School campus located north and east of David E. Cook Way and west of North Fowler Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8346° north latitude and 119.6837° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 31, 2022, the existing parking lot and playcourt area was cracked. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 375 and 379 feet at the project site. The layout of the parking lot and playcourt areas are illustrated on Figure 2.

### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at two locations (C-1 through C-2) and hand-augering to depths of approximately 46 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the three coring locations between depths of approximately 45 and 46.25 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- Two R-value tests were performed on the soil samples that were considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and playcourt areas and preparing this engineering report.



# **FINDINGS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table.

| Coro Numbor       | <b>Encountered Pavement Section</b> |             |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Core Number       | AC (inches)                         | AB (inches) |  |
| C-1 (Parking Lot) | 2.5                                 | 5.0         |  |
| C-2 (Playcourts)  | 2.0                                 | 7.0         |  |

The soils encountered at the coring locations consisted primarily of fine to medium grained silty sand with varying amounts of clay. The subgrade at all locations was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### LABORATORY TESTING

Two Resistance Value (R-Value) tests were performed on representative samples of the subgrade obtained from planned paved areas using test methods outlined in ASTM D2844.Details of the R-Value tests that were performed are provided in the attached laboratory reports, Figures 3 and 4.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, the subgrade R-Values recommended for design purposes are given below.

| Location    | Recommended R-Value |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Parking Lot | 45                  |
| Playcourts  | 25                  |

The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

### Parking Lot

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 4.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 4.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 5.0" Class 2 AB            |



#### **Playcourts**

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 7.5" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 8.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 9.5" Class 2 AB            |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 5 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 5 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either express or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart legan

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

4 C. Mont

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



- Attachments: Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figures 3 & 4 – R-Value Test Results
- Distribution:Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us)Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to jfelix@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to arodriguez@bcf-engr.com)



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Community Day School 1715 David E Cook Way Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/05

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 800'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated November 2021 prepared by: Blaire. Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP** Community Day School 1715 David E Cook Way Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/05

# Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 104'



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/05                                  |               |           |
|------------------|--|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Community Day School                           | Lab ID:       | 22-009421 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                       | Date Sampled: | 3/31/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                       | Date Tested:  | 4/11/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 7.5in - 46.25in                          |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND trace Clay, fine to medium grained, | brown         |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 45 |
|---|----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | 63 |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 2010  | 3009  | 4988  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 160   | 240   | 397   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 3     | 4     | 11    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 13    | 17    | 48    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 110   | 81    | 39    |
| Displacement:                   | 5.11  | 5.41  | 3.46  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 18    | 31    | 69    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 19    | 32    | 68    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 10.8  | 9.9   | 9.0   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 129.0 | 130.3 | 125.9 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 4 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of



| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 27  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1603  | 2933  | 4143  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 128   | 234   | 330   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 2     | 6     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 9     | 26    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 146   | 137   | 78    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.08  | 4.06  | 3.74  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 6     | 9     | 41    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 6     | 8     | 40    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 12.0  | 11.0  | 10.1  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 126.5 | 125.7 | 124.8 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



April 5, 2022

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/06

Mr. Adam Belmont Clovis Unified School District 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Jefferson Elementary School 1880 Fowler Avenue Clovis, California 93611

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Jefferson Elementary School playcourt area in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Jefferson Elementary School campus located west of Fowler Avenue and north of Shaw Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8099° north latitude and 119.6863° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 16, 2022, the existing playcourt was cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 369 feet at the project site. The layout of the campus is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at one location (C-1) and hand-augering to a depth of approximately 44 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the coring location between a depth of approximately 7.5 and 44.25 inches.
- The core hole was backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- An R-Value tests was performed on a sample of the near surface soils that was considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **RESULTS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table. Details of the R-Value test that was performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figure 3.

| Coro Numbor | Encountered P | avement Section | P. Value by Exudation | R-Value by |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Core Number | AC (inches)   | AB (inches)     | R-value by Exhibit    | Expansion  |
| C-1         | 1.25          | 6.25            | 21                    | n/a        |

The soils encountered at the coring location consisted primarily of fine to medium grained silty sand with clay. The subgrade was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 20 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 8.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 9.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 10.5" Class 2 AB           |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 6 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 6 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.



A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.

Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart egan V

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

Month

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



- Attachments: Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figure 3– R-Value Test Result
- Distribution:Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us)Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to jfelix@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to arodriguez@bcf-engr.com)



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Jefferson Elementary School 1880 Fowler Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/06

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 383'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated November 2021 prepared by: Blaire. Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP** Jefferson Elementary School 1880 Fowler Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/06

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 100'



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/06                                 |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Jefferson Elementary School                   | Lab ID:       | 22-009265 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                      | Date Sampled: | 3/16/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                      | Date Tested:  | 3/28/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 7.5in - 44.5in                          |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND with Clay, fine to medium grained, | brown         |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 21  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 2082  | 3326  | 4389  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 166   | 265   | 349   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 2     | 2     | 9     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 9     | 9     | 39    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 132   | 120   | 78    |
| Displacement:                   | 5.3   | 5.21  | 5.03  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 9     | 14    | 34    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 9     | 14    | 33    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 13.1  | 12.2  | 11.3  |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 123.8 | 125.7 | 124.8 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



April 5, 2022

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/07

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Mickey Cox Elementary School 2191 Sierra Avenue Clovis, California 93611

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Mickey Cox Elementary School playcourt area in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Mickey Cox Elementary School campus located north of Sierra Avenue and west of North Armstrong Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8307° north latitude and 119.6748° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 16, 2022, the existing playcourt was cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 377 to 379 feet at the project site. The layout of the campus is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at one location (C-1) and hand-augering to a depth of approximately 48 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the coring location between a depth of approximately 5.5 and 48 inches.
- The core hole was backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- An R-Value tests was performed on a sample of the near surface soils that was considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **RESULTS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table. Details of the R-Value test that was performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figure 3.

| Core Number | Encountered P | avement Section | ment Section       |           |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Core Number | AC (inches)   | AB (inches)     | R-value by Exhibit | Expansion |
| C-1         | 2.25          | 3.25            | 38                 | 30        |

The soils encountered at the coring location consisted primarily of fine to medium grained silty sand with clay. The subgrade was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 30 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 6.5" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 7.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 8.5" Class 2 AB            |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 3 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 3 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.



A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.

Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart egan V

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

Month

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



- Attachments: Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figure 3– R-Value Test Result
- Distribution:Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us)Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to jfelix@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to arodriguez@bcf-engr.com)



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Mickey Cox Elementary School 2191 Sierra Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/07

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 370'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated November 2021 prepared by: Blaire. Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP** Mickey Cox Elementary School 2191 Sierra Avenue Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/07

## Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 57'



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/07                                   |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Mickey Cox Elementary School                    | Lab ID:       | 22-009266 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.  | Date Sampled: | 3/16/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.  | Date Tested:  | 3/28/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 5.5in - 48in                              |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND with Clay, fine to medium grained, b | brown         |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 38 |
|---|----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | 30 |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 6151  | 3602  | 1648  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 490   | 287   | 131   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 43    | 30    | 13    |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 186   | 130   | 56    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 64    | 79    | 124   |
| Displacement:                   | 3.81  | 4.09  | 4.32  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 50    | 39    | 14    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 48    | 38    | 14    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 8.3   | 8.7   | 9.2   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 129.5 | 126.4 | 129.0 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



January 7, 2020

RMA Project No. 19G-0642-0/05

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Pinedale Elementary School 7171 North Sugar Pine Avenue Fresno, California 93650

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Pinedale Elementary School Parking Lot in Fresno, California. The project site consists of the existing Pinedale Elementary School located on the west side of North Sugar Pine Avenue north of West Fir Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8399° north latitude and 119.7915° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on December 18, 2019, the existing parking lot was cracked and uneven. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 347 and 348 feet at the project site. The layout of the parking lot is illustrated on Figure 2.

#### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at two locations (C-1 through C-2) and hand-augering to depths of approximately 82 inches as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the two coring locations between depths of approximately 9 and 82 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- A Minus #200 Wash (ASTM D1140) was completed on a sample from each core location. An R-value test was performed on a composite soil sample that was considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844/California Test Method 301. In addition, a Maximum Density/Optimum Moisture test was performed on a composite sample in accordance with ASTM D1557.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and preparing this engineering report.



# **RESULTS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table. Details of the R-value test that was performed are provided in the attached laboratory report, Figure 3.

| Coro Numbor | Encountered Par | vement Section | % Passing the | P Value |  |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|--|
| Core Number | AC (inches)     | AB (inches)    | #200          | n-value |  |
| C-1         | 2.25            | 6.5            | 49            | EQ      |  |
| C-2         | 2               | 8.25           | 34            | 20      |  |

The soils encountered at the coring locations consisted primarily of silty sand with varying amounts of clay and silty sand with gravel. The subgrade at C-1 and C-2 was damp in the upper 3 feet and moist below that. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

| Core Number | % Moisture | Optimum Moisture % | % from Optimum |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|
| C-1         | 10.0       | 75                 | +2.5           |
| C-2         | 8.5        | 7.5                | +1.0           |

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-value of 50 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 4.0" Class 2 AB            |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 8 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 5 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and



reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with 3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 5 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

Stewart 1 legan y

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist



Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



- Attachments: Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figure 3– R-Value Test Results Figure 4– ASTM D1557 Test Result
- Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us) Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)



Scale: 1" ≈ 1,205'



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Pinedale Elementary School Southeast Parking Lot 7171 North Sugarpine Fresno, California 93650 Project #19G-0642-0/05



**Reference:** Site Plan prepared by Blair, Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers, 10/7/2019



FIGURE 2 CORING LOCATION MAP Pinedale Elementary School Southeast Parking Lot 7171 North Sugarpine Fresno, California 93650 Project #19G-0642-0/05

C-2 Approximate Coring Location



### Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

**Compacted Soil** 



| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 58  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1336  | 3605  | 9731  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 106   | 287   | 775   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 102   | 48    | 38    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.04  | 4.2   | 4.47  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 26    | 58    | 64    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 27    | 57    | 61    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 12.7  | 9.0   | 8.1   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 124.4 | 126.9 | 128.6 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 4 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D 1557 Test Method A

| Project Number:  | 19G-0642-0/05                             | Curve Number: | 1          |
|------------------|---|---------------|------------|
| Project Name:    | Pinedale ES Southeast Parking Lot         | Lab ID:       | 19-003562  |
| Sampled By:      | Bryce M.                                  | Date Sampled: | 12/18/2019 |
| Tested By:       | Phuoc T. / Ryan R.                        | Date Tested:  | 12/23/2019 |
| Sample Location: | Composite: C-1, C-2                       |               |            |
| Description:     | Silty SAND, fine to medium grained, brown |               |            |
|                  |   |               |            |

|   |                      |           |                           | 1             | 2                          | 3                  | 4                         |
|---|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Weight of Moist Specimen and Mold (grams) |                      |           | 3972.4                    | 4105.7        | 4066.5                     | 4025.5             |                           |
| Weight                                    | of Compa             | ction Mol | d (grams)                 | 1957.8        | 1957.8                     | 1957.8             | 1957.8                    |
| Weigh                                     | t of Moist           | Specime   | n (grams)                 | 2014.6        | 2147.9                     | 2108.7             | 2067.7                    |
|   | V                    | olume of  | mold (ft <sup>3</sup> )   | 0.0334        | 0.0334                     | 0.0334             | 0.0334                    |
|   | W                    | /et Densi | ty (lbs/ft <sup>3</sup> ) | 133.0         | 141.8                      | 139.2              | 136.5                     |
| Weight of We                              | et (Moisture         | e) Sampl  | e (grams)                 | 300.0         | 300.0                      | 300.0              | 300.0                     |
| Weight of Dr                              | y (Moisture          | e) Sampl  | e (grams)                 | 283.7         | 279.1                      | 273.9              | 269.3                     |
|   | Moisture Content (%) |           |                           | 5.7%          | 7.5%                       | 9.5%               | 11.4%                     |
|   | C                    | Ory Densi | ty (lbs/ft <sup>3</sup> ) | 125.7         | 131.9                      | 127.1              | 122.5                     |
|   |                      |           | ,                         |               | A: No.4 Sieve, < 2         | 25% Oversize, 4" N | lold                      |
| Sieve Size                                | No.4                 | 3/8"      | 3/4"                      | Method        | <b>B</b> : 3/8" Sieve, < 2 | 5% Oversize, 4" M  | old                       |
| Oversize Fraction %                       | < 10/-               | 0.004     | 0.00/-                    |               | <b>C</b> : 3/4" Sieve, < 3 | 0% Oversize, 6" M  | old                       |
|   | <170                 | 0.0%      | 0.070                     | Rammer: Mecha | anical / <del>Manual</del> | Preparatior        | n: <del>Dry</del> / Moist |
|   |                      |           |                           | Spe           | cimen Prep. Mass:          | 2500 am            |                           |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10)



Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)

3897 N Ann Ave Fresno, CA 93727 559.708.8865 | 559.228.9488 fax

RMA Project No. 22G-0055-0/08



April 14, 2022

Mr. Adam Belmont **Clovis Unified School District** 1470 Herndon Avenue Clovis, CA 93611

#### Subject: Materials Report for Pavement Section Recommendations Professional Development Center 1680 David E Cook Way Clovis, California 93611

Dear Mr. Belmont:

As requested, we have performed sampling, laboratory testing, and engineering for the planned improvements to the Professional Development Center parking lot in Clovis, California. The project site consists of the existing Professional Development Center campus located south of David E Cook Way and west of North Fowler Avenue (see Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map). The geographic position of the project site is 36.8343° north latitude and 119.6849° west longitude. At the time of our field exploration on March 31, 2022, the existing parking lot was cracked. Based on Google Earth data, the ground surface elevation varies between approximately 375 and 379 feet at the project site. The layout of the parking lot is illustrated on Figure 2.

### SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of work performed for this project included the following tasks:

- Coring the existing pavement at two locations (C-1 through C-2) and hand-augering to depths of approximately 48 inches, with approximate locations as indicated on the attached Figure 2, Coring Location Map. The coring was performed using a 4-inch core barrel, which extended through the asphalt concrete (AC) into the underlying aggregate base (AB) layer. Hand tools were used to dig through the AB layer and into the subgrade. Subgrade samples were obtained from the three coring locations between depths of approximately 46.5 and 48 inches.
- The core holes were backfilled with soil and AB and then patched with asphalt cold mix.
- Two R-value tests were performed on the soil samples that were considered representative of the subgrade to evaluate the stability characteristics of the soil in accordance with ASTM D2844.
- Performing geotechnical engineering and providing recommendations for earthwork and pavement sections for the new parking lot and playcourt areas and preparing this engineering report.



# **FINDINGS**

A summary of the data that was obtained from the field exploration that were done for this project is provided in the following table.

| Coro Numbor | Encountered Pavement Section |             |  |  |  |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Core Number | AC (inches)                  | AB (inches) |  |  |  |
| C-1         | 2.75                         | 7.75        |  |  |  |
| C-2         | 2.75                         | 4.25        |  |  |  |

The soils encountered at the coring locations consisted primarily of fine to medium to fine to coarse grained silty sand. The subgrade at all locations was moist. No unusually loose or wet subgrade was noted within the depths explored.

### LABORATORY TESTING

Two Resistance Value (R-Value) tests were performed on representative samples of the subgrade obtained from planned paved areas using test methods outlined in ASTM D2844.Details of the R-Value tests that were performed are provided in the attached laboratory reports, Figures 3 and 4.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW PAVEMENT SECTIONS**

Based on the laboratory testing that has been performed for this project, a subgrade R-Value of 50 is recommended for design purposes and has been used to develop the pavement sections given below. The asphalt concrete (AC) structural section recommendations given herein were developed using the procedures outlined in Chapter 630 of the California Highway Design Manual. The design procedure is based on the principle that the pavement structural section must be of adequate thickness to distribute the load from the design TI to the subgrade soils in such a manner that the stresses from the applied loads do not exceed the strength of the soil (R-value). Recommended minimum structural sections are given below:

| Design TI | Recommended Minimum<br>Pavement Section |
|-----------|---|
| ≤5.0      | 2.5" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 5.5       | 3.0" AC over 3.0" Class 2 AB            |
| 6.0       | 3.0" AC over 4.0" Class 2 AB            |

Prior to paving, the subgrade should be prepared with at least the upper 12 inches of subgrade soils compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. All aggregate base (AB) courses should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. The AC mix design(s) and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

Our field exploration encountered a base layer (AB) that is at least 4 inches thick and the underlying subgrade appeared to be firm and stable. Therefore, as an alternative to completely removing and reconstructing the existing pavement section, the existing AC layer could be removed and replaced with



3-inch thick layer of AC. This alternative would require verifying that the base layer is at least 4 inches thick across the entire site, especially within driveway areas or wherever truck traffic is expected. In areas where the existing base layer does not meet the minimum thickness required, Class 2 AB should be placed until this requirement is met. In any case, the aggregate base layer must be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction prior to placing the new AC layer.

A representative from RMA GeoScience should perform observations and testing during construction in order to verify that the site preparation, compaction of subgrade, thickness of the base layer, and placement of Class 2 Aggregate Base is done in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and the project specifications.

The AC mix should comply with the Caltrans Standard Specifications. Details of the AC mix design and installation requirements should be specified by the Project Civil Engineer.

#### **CLOSING REMARKS**

The information contained in this report was provided in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, either express or implied, is made. This report has been prepared for Clovis Unified School District and the Project Design Team to be used for the design and construction of the subject parking lot. Anyone using this report for any other purpose must draw their own conclusions regarding required construction procedures and subsurface conditions.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you should have any questions regarding the information provided in this report, please contact the undersigned at (559) 708-8865.



Respectfully submitted, RMA GeoScience

)tewart legan

Megan J. Stewart, GIT Staff Geologist

Month

Josue Montes, P.E., G.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer



Attachments: Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Coring Location Map Figures 3 & 4 – R-Value Test Results

Distribution: Addressee (1 Originals and a pdf to adambelmont@clovisusd.k12.ca.us)
 Ms. Jennifer Felix, Blair, Church & Flynn (3 Originals and a pdf to jfelix@bcf-engr.com)
 Mr. Zachary Hockett, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to zhockett@bcf-engr.com)
 Mr. Albert Rodriguez, Blair, Church & Flynn (pdf to arodriguez@bcf-engr.com)



Reference: Google Earth



FIGURE 1 SITE VICINITY MAP Professional Development Center 1680 David E Cook Way Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/08

Approximate Scale: 1" ≈ 800'



Reference: Google Earth Tentative Tract Map dated January 2021 prepared by: Blaire. Church & Flynn Consulting Engineers

FIGURE 2

**CORING LOCATION MAP Professional Development Center** 1680 David E Cook Way Clovis, CA 93611 Project# 22G-0055-0/08

# Approximate Scale: $1'' \approx 47'$



Approximate Coring Location





# Figure 3 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/08                             |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Professional Development Center           | Lab ID:       | 22-009419 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                  | Date Sampled: | 3/31/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                  | Date Tested:  | 4/11/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-1 @ 10.5in - 48in                       |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND, fine to coarse grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 84  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 1347  | 3248  | 6846  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 107   | 259   | 545   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 3     | 3     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 13    | 13    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 24    | 18    | 14    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.02  | 3.98  | 3.47  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 78    | 83    | 88    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 78    | 83    | 87    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 12.2  | 10.4  | 8.6   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 119.4 | 120.0 | 118.5 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)



# Figure 4 Laboratory Test Form | ASTM D2844 Resistance "R-Value" and Expansion Pressure of

| Project Number:  | 22G-0055-0/08                             |               |           |
|------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| Project Name:    | Professional Development Center           | Lab ID:       | 22-009420 |
| Sampled By:      | Phuoc T.                                  | Date Sampled: | 3/31/2022 |
| Tested By:       | Jason M.                                  | Date Tested:  | 4/12/2022 |
| Sample Location: | C-2 @ 7in - 46.5in                        |               |           |
| Description:     | Silty SAND, fine to medium grained, brown |               |           |

| "R" Value at 300psi Exudation Pressure: | 69  |
|---|-----|
| "R" Value by Expansion Pressure:        | N/A |



| Specimen:                       | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Exudation Pressure Load (lbs):  | 2150  | 3514  | 6326  |
| Exudation Pressure (psi):       | 171   | 280   | 504   |
| Expansion * (0.0001 in):        | 1     | 4     | 5     |
| Expansion Pressure (psf):       | 4     | 17    | 22    |
| Stabilometer Value at 2000 lbs: | 79    | 39    | 30    |
| Displacement:                   | 4.89  | 3.78  | 3.58  |
| Resistance "R" Value:           | 34    | 67    | 75    |
| "R" Value Corrected for Height: | 34    | 67    | 75    |
| Percent Moisture at Test:       | 11.2  | 10.3  | 9.4   |
| Dry Density at Test (pcf):      | 123.1 | 123.8 | 125.3 |

Results relate only to the items inspected or tested. (Statement required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.10) Report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the prior written approval of the agency (As required per ASTM E329-18 Section 12.1.11)